OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ECKHARD H. KUESTERS (703) 413-3000 EKUESTERS@OBLON.COM



Docket No.: 250838US2CONT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/807,186

Applicants: Masaki KINOSHITA, et al.

Filing Date: March 24, 2004

For: DISPLAY APPARATUS AND METHOD OF

MANUFACTURING THE SAME

Group Art Unit: 2871 Examiner: KIM, R.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBŁON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) POECKET NO: 250838US2CONT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION OF

MASAKI KINOSHITA ET AL : EXAMINER: KIM, R.

SERIAL NO: 10/807,186

FILED: MARCH 24, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2871

FOR: DISPLAY APARATUS AND

METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE

SAME

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated December 28, 2004, Applicants provisionally elects with traverse Group I, drawn to display apparatus, classified in class 349, subclass 158. Applicants further elect Species (1) identified in the outstanding Official Action as corresponding to Figure 2, for further examination on the merits. Applicants identify Claims 1-8 and 20 as readable on the elected species. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected species.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct species, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action does not identify search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of Application No. 10/807,186
Reply to Office Action of December 28, 2004

sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Election Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single species be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-46 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.,

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/03)

Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

I:\ATTY\EHK\Amend-Responses\0039\25s\250838US-PE.doc